Dealing with the small stuff: Sentence level issues and errors

Some questions about your approach:1

1.	When you are grading	, how important	do you	consider	errors in	grammar	and
	syntax to be?						

2.	What sort o	f feedback do	vou give with	regard to errors	s of this sort?
~ .	VVIIGL SOIL O	i iccaback ac	you give with	regard to error	, 01 11110 0011:

3. Do you include discussion of these sorts of errors in your tutorials, and if so, how do you do it?

4. Do you feel comfortable perceiving, diagnosing, and explaining these sorts of errors?

Your background:

- 1. What training have you had in terms of English grammar and syntax?
- 2. What advice or instructions have your instructors given you with regard to how they want these issues addressed?

¹ This handout was used as a discussion prompt for RGASC Writing TA Training sessions.

Pros and Cons of paying attention to grammar/syntax issues

1. What are some pros to paying attention to sentence level issues?

2. What are some cons to paying attention to sentence level issues?

3. In an ideal world, how would you choose to weight or address these issues when assessing student work?



Mark Blaauw-Hara's 7-Point Guide to Discussing Grammar

- Address grammar rhetorically, i.e. like wearing inappropriate clothes to a job interview: this can help take some of the prescriptiveness out of a prescriptive approach.
- 2. Focus on process
- 3. If possible, encourage dialogue with students to get at their meanings
- 4. Work on grammar issues in students' own writing (or in the sort of writing that students in your course might produce)
- 5. Encourage rereading
- Provide models of good writing
- 7. Don't correct
- Students should fix their **own** errors, and ideally should find most or all of them. They won't learn if you do it for them.
- Rei Noguchi argues that grammar teaching (and feedback is teaching) ought to focus on areas in which there are a) a high frequency of b) errors that are considered by readers to be severe.

Helping Out Beyond the Red Ink

How can you integrate this feedback into the broader context of the course, or of the student's academic progress?

- Grammar instruction in tutorials
- Writing appointments (office hours)
- BB links to sites (such as the Purdue Owl)
- RGASC online modules
- Recommendations to visit the RGASC
- Tracking issues and progress over the course's length



What Would Academia Be Without a (Selected) Bibliography?

Mark Blaauw-Hara, "Why Our Students Need Instruction in Grammar, and How We Should Go about It," *Teaching English in the Two Year College* 34:2 (2006):165-178.

Mark Blaauw-Hara, "Mapping the Frontier: A Survey of Twenty Years of Grammar Articles in TETYC," *Teaching English in the Two Year College* 35:1 (2007):30-40.

Gary Dohrer, "Do Teachers' Comments on Students' Papers Help?," *College Teaching*, 39:2 (1991):48-54.

Dana Ferris, Treatment of Error in Second Language Writing (U. Michigan Press, 2011).

Richard Haswell, "Minimal Marking," College English, 45:6 (1983):600-604.

Patrick Hartwell, "Grammar, Grammars, and the Teaching of Grammar." *College English* 47:2 (1985):105-127.

Andrea Lunsford and Karen Lunsford, "Mistakes are a Fact of Life," *College Communication and Composition* 59:4 (2008):781-806.

Rei Noguchi, *Grammar and the Teaching of Writing: Limits and Possibilities* (National Council of Teachers, 1991).

Lesa Stern and Amanda Solomon, "Effective Faculty Feedback: The Road Less Travelled," *Assessing Writing* 11 (2005):22-41.

John Truscott, "The Case Against Grammar Correction in L2 Writing Classes," *Language Learning* 46:2 (1996):327-369.

Joseph Williams, "The Phenomenology of Error," College Communication and Composition 30:2 (1981):152-168.

