Decoding Speech Prosody: Do Music Lessons Help?
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Three experiments revealed that music lessons promote sensitivity to emotions conveyed by speech prosody. After hearing semantically neutral utterances spoken with emotional (i.e., happy, sad, fearful, or angry) prosody, or tone sequences that mimicked the utterances’ prosody, participants identified the emotion conveyed. In Experiment 1 (n = 20), musically trained adults performed better than untrained adults. In Experiment 2 (n = 56), musically trained adults outperformed untrained adults at identifying sadness, fear, or neutral emotion. In Experiment 3 (n = 43), 6-year-olds were tested after being randomly assigned to 1 year of keyboard, vocal, drama, or no lessons. The keyboard group performed equivalently to the drama group and better than the no-lessons group at identifying anger or fear.

In the past 10 years, the possibility of links between musical and nonmusical domains has generated excitement among researchers and the popular press. One line of research concerns short-term benefits in nonmusical domains that occur as a consequence of passive listening to music. In two widely cited studies (Rauscher, Shaw, & Ky, 1993, 1995), listening to music composed by Mozart led to temporary improvements in spatial abilities. As an instance of cross-modal priming, this result is remarkable because the priming stimulus (music) is seemingly unrelated to the task being primed (a spatial task). Subsequent research indicates, however, that the so-called Mozart effect has nothing to do with Mozart in particular or with music in general (Nantais & Schellenberg, 1999). Rather, the apparent benefits of listening to music result from differences in mood and arousal induced by the testing conditions (Chabris, 1999; Thompson, Schellenberg, & Husain, 2001). Listening to fast-tempo music in a major key induces positive moods and arousal levels that facilitate performance on spatial tasks (Husain, Thompson, & Schellenberg, 2002; Thompson et al., 2001).

A second line of research concerns long-term effects of formal training in music. The cognitive implications of taking music lessons are distinct from the short-term effects of music listening (Schellenberg, 2003). Whereas transient effects of music listening on spatial abilities are said to be instances of priming (Rauscher et al., 1993, 1995; Shaw, 2000), beneficial effects of music lessons on nonmusical abilities are best classified as positive transfer effects. Transfer occurs when previous experience in problem solving makes solving a new problem easier (positive transfer) or more difficult (negative transfer). In our view, the issue of whether music lessons yield positive transfer effects is an open question that is amenable to empirical investigation.

Although transfer effects depend critically on similarity between the training and transfer contexts (Barnett & Ceci, 2002; Postman, 1971), previous studies of transfer between music lessons and nonmusical skills have focused on domains that are not linked closely to music. For example, positive associations with music lessons have been reported for measures of general intelligence (Lynn, Wilson, & Gault, 1989; Schellenberg, in press), symbolic reasoning (Gromko & Poorman, 1998), reading (Lamb & Gregory, 1993), mathematical ability (Gardiner, Fox, Knowles, & Jeffrey, 1996), verbal recall (Ho, Cheung, & Chan, 2003;
Kilgour, Jakobson, & Cuddy, 2000), and spatial ability (for review, see Hetland, 2000). If music lessons were the actual source of these effects, the findings would represent instances of transfer between highly dissimilar contexts and domains, which are rare (Barnett & Ceci, 2002; Detterman, 1993). Although there is suggestive evidence that some of these associations are mediated by temporal-order processing skills (Jakobson, Cuddy, & Kilgour, 2003), the designs of most of these studies were correlational, which precludes determination of causal relations (for exceptions, see Gardiner et al., 1996; Schellenberg, in press).

In the present investigation, we predicted that formal training in music enhances listeners’ ability to decode emotions conveyed by prosody in speech. Our prediction was motivated by theoretical and empirical links between music and emotion, similar links between speech prosody and emotion, and features and processes common to music and speech prosody. Because music and speech prosody represent two domains that are auditory, communicative, and linked with emotion, transfer effects between domains are much more likely than those noted above. Our prediction is also relevant to present conceptions of emotional intelligence (Mayer, Caruso, & Salovey, 1999; Mayer, Salovey, Caruso, & Sitarenios, 2001). Emotional intelligence consists of the following skills (ordered from lowest to highest level): (a) perceiving emotions, (b) using emotions to facilitate thought, (c) understanding and reasoning about emotions, and (d) managing emotions in self and others. We examined the possibility of transfer effects between music lessons and the lowest (most basic) level of the emotional intelligence hierarchy.

Emotion and Music

Several theorists have attempted to explain why music evokes emotional responses (for reviews, see Cook & Dibben, 2001; Davies, 2001; Scherer & Zentner, 2001; Sloboda & Juslin, 2001). Langer (1957) argued that music involves a number of dynamic patterns (i.e., motion and rest, tension and release, agreement and disagreement, and sudden or surprising change), which are inherently linked to emotion. Meyer (1956; see also Gaver & Mandler, 1987) claimed that violations of listeners’ musical expectations are arousing, which, in turn, leads to emotional responding. Cooke (1959) considered music to be a language of the emotions. He suggested that specific emotions are associated with particular melodic intervals or patterns. Similarly, drawing from speculations by Darwin (1872) and others, Kivy (1980) argued that properties of music such as tempo, mode, and melodic motion resemble human emotional displays.

Empirical evidence of links between music and emotion is compelling and widespread (for a review, see Juslin & Sloboda, 2001). Early research by Hevner (1935a, 1935b, 1936, 1937) illustrated that listeners associate specific emotions with basic characteristics of music, such as tempo and pitch height. For example, music played at a fast tempo is labeled exciting and happy, whereas music played at a slow tempo is perceived to be serene and dreamy. By attending to such basic characteristics, listeners are able to judge the emotional meaning of music from unfamiliar cultures (Balkwill & Thompson, 1999). Even children are sensitive to the emotions conveyed by music (Cunningham & Sterling, 1988; Dalla Bella, Peretz, Rousseau, & Gosselin, 2001; Kratus, 1993; Terwogt & Van Grinsven, 1991). When presented with classical music that conveys one of four emotions (happiness, sadness, fear, or anger), 5-year-olds, 10-year-olds, and adults can decode the appropriate emotion, although happiness and sadness are easier to identify than fear and anger (Terwogt & Van Grinsven, 1991). The advantage for decoding happiness and sadness over fear and anger is relatively widespread in studies with musical stimuli (e.g., Bunt & Pavlicevic, 2001; Terwogt & Van Grinsven, 1988, 1991; Thompson & Robitaille, 1992), but contextual factors play a role. For example, anger is expressed well on an electric guitar but not on a flute (Gabrielsson & Juslin, 1996). More generally, music’s emotional connotations are dependent on a combination of factors, including instrumentation, musical structure, and performance expression (Gabrielsson & Lindström, 2001; Juslin, 1997, 2001). As one would expect, the ability to identify emotions expressed by music improves with age (Terwogt & Van Grinsven, 1988, 1991), and older children consider more factors when making their judgments. For example, when asked to decide whether a piece sounds happy or sad, 6- to 8-year-olds consider tempo (fast or slow) and mode (major or minor), but 5-year-olds are influenced only by tempo (Dalla Bella et al., 2001).

Music also evokes physical responses that accompany emotions such as tears, tingles down the spine (or “chills”), and changes in heart rate, breathing rate, blood pressure, and skin conductance levels (Goldstein, 1980; Krumhansl, 1997; Panksepp, 1993; Sloboda, 1991, 1992; Thayer & Levenson, 1983). Adults report that they frequently listen to music in order to
Adults, infant-directed speech has higher pitch, larger pitch variability, and varied loudness (Scherer, 1986). Compared with speech directed toward young infants, which is consistent with Bolinger’s (1978, 1986) suggestion that prosody has its roots in prelinguistic speech. Indeed, prosodic cues are the only way to convey emotion when speaking to young infants. Compared with speech directed toward adults, infant-directed speech has higher pitch, larger pitch excursions, slower rate, shorter utterances, and longer pauses (e.g., Ferguson, 1964; Fernald & Mazzie, 1991). Such modifications are made across cultures and genders (e.g., Fernald & Simon, 1984; Fernald et al., 1989; Grieser & Kuhl, 1988). Infant-directed speech facilitates the language-acquisition process by highlighting important words and linguistic structures (e.g., Fernald & Mazzie, 1991; Kemler Nelson, Hirsh-Pasek, Jusczyk, & Wright Cassidy, 1989), by hyperarticulating vowels (Burnham, Kitamura, & Vollmer-Conna, 2002), and by eliciting and maintaining young listeners’ attention (Fernald, 1991; Werker & McLeod, 1989). It also promotes emotional bonding between the speaker and the listener (Trainor, Austin, & Desjardins, 2000).

**Music and Speech Prosody**

Emotions are expressed in music and speech through variations in rate, amplitude, pitch, timbre, and stress (Juslin & Laukka, 2003; Scherer, 1995). Evolutionary theories suggest that musical behavior evolved in conjunction with—or as an adaptation of—vocal communication (Brown, 2000; Disanayake, 2000; Joseph, 1988; Pinker, 1995). For example, Disanayake (2000) proposed that vocal interactions between mothers and infants provide the foundation for a system of emotional communication that is used in music and other arts. In her view, music, speech prosody, and facial expression share a common ancestry as temporal-spatial patterns used in affiliative interactions between mothers and infants.

Pitch contour and rhythmic grouping are critical dimensions in both music and prosody (Patel, Peretz, Tramo, & Labrecque, 1998). In music, pitch and temporal relations define musical tunes, which retain their identities across transformations in pitch level and tempo. In speech, pitch variation provides an important source of semantic and emotional information, and temporal properties help listeners determine boundaries between words and phrases. Descending pitch contours and syllables or notes of long duration typically mark ends of phrases in speech (Price, Ostendorf, Shattuck-Hufnagel, & Fong, 1991) and in music (Narmour, 1990). Even young infants parse speech (Hirsh-Pasek et al., 1987) and music (Jusczyk & Krumhansl, 1993) using this information. Musical pitch and speech intonation are also processed preferentially by the right hemisphere, whereas rhythms in music and speech are less clearly lateralized (e.g., McKinnon & Schellenberg, 1997; Peretz, 2001; Snow, 2000; Van Lancker & Sidtis, 1992). Moreover,
music and speech share neural resources for combining their basic elements (i.e., musical tones and words, respectively) into rule-governed sequences (Patel, 2003).

The Present Study

Parallels between music and speech prosody raise the possibility that skills acquired through training in music lead to enhanced sensitivity to emotions conveyed by prosody. In other words, music lessons might nurture a basic skill of emotional intelligence by engaging, developing, and refining processes used for perceiving emotions expressed musically, which, in turn, could have consequences for perceiving emotions expressed in speech. Such effects would represent instances of transfer between training in music and a domain that is similar on several dimensions.

Although effects of musical training on decoding emotions in music have been inconsistent (Gabrielsson & Juslin, 2003), two studies provided preliminary evidence consistent with our hypothesis that music lessons facilitate the ability to decode emotions conveyed by speech prosody. Nilsonne and Sundberg (1985) presented music and law students with tone sequences consisting of the fundamental frequencies (1985) presented music and law students with tone sequences that mimicked the pitch and temporal structure of spoken phrases. Unlike the “matching” judgments used by Thompson et al. (2003), our task required listeners to identify the corresponding emotion. We focused on pitch and temporal structures because they are the most musically relevant dimensions of prosody. To illustrate, a familiar song such as Happy Birthday can be identified regard-

In Experiment 1, listeners heard “happy” or “sad” sounding utterances spoken in a foreign language (Spanish). Each utterance was followed by a low-pass filtered version of an utterance spoken with the same emotional tone. Again, musically trained listeners outperformed their untrained counterparts at judging whether the filtered version was derived from the preceding utterance.

In the present investigation, we conducted three experiments that examined whether training in music is predictive of increased sensitivity to emotions conveyed by prosody. In Experiments 1 and 2, we attempted to replicate and extend the findings of Nilsonne and Sundberg (1985) and Thompson et al. (in press). Adults were tested on their ability to decode the emotional meaning of spoken utterances or tone sequences that mimicked the prosody of those utterances. Some of the adults had extensive training in music that began in childhood; others had no music lessons. We predicted that adults who received musical training as children would be better than untrained adults at identifying emotions conveyed by the utterances and tone sequences.

In Experiment 3, 6-year-olds were assigned to 1 year of keyboard, singing, drama, or no lessons and tested subsequently on their sensitivity to the emotions conveyed by spoken utterances and by tone sequences. There were two experimental groups (i.e., keyboard and singing) and two control groups (i.e., drama and no lessons). The ability of children in the no-lessons group to decode prosody should represent that of the average child. By contrast, vocal expression of emotion is central to drama training. Thus, children who received 1 year of drama lessons should be better than average at identifying emotions conveyed through prosodic cues. We also expected that training in music would lead to above-average abilities at decoding prosody in speech. It was unclear whether the music groups would perform as well as the drama group, or whether the singing group would perform as well as the keyboard group. On the one hand, singing lessons emphasize the use of the voice and might facilitate the ability to decode the emotional content of vocal utterances in general, and more so than keyboard lessons. On the other hand, singing lessons emphasize controlled use of the voice to produce a sequence of discrete pitches. This nonprosodic use of the voice could interfere with decoding prosodic expressions of emotion.

Experiment 1

Musically trained and untrained adults were assessed on their ability to decode the emotions conveyed by tone sequences that mimicked the pitch and temporal structure of spoken phrases. Unlike the “matching” judgments used by Thompson et al. (2003), our task required listeners to identify the corresponding emotion. We focused on pitch and temporal structures because they are the most musically relevant dimensions of prosody. To illustrate, a familiar song such as Happy Birthday can be identified regard-
less of timbre (e.g., sung, performed on the piano) or amplitude (e.g., soft, loud), provided the pitch and temporal relations among tones conform to those that define the tune.

Our review of the literature motivated two predictions. The primary prediction was that musically trained participants would outperform their untrained counterparts. We also expected that identification accuracy would differ across the four emotions. As noted, sadness and anger are typically easier to decode than happiness and fear for spoken stimuli, whereas sadness and happiness are easier to decode than fear and anger for musical stimuli. Because our tone sequences combined prosodic and musical features, we expected that identification accuracy would be relatively high for sad sequences but relatively low for fearful sequences.

**Method**

**Participants.** Twenty undergraduates (12 men and 8 women) participated in the study. They were recruited from introductory psychology classes and received course credit for participating. The musically trained group consisted of 4 women and 5 men who had at least 8 years of formal music lessons ($M = 13.3$ years, $SD = 5.5$ years). All of them began taking music lessons during childhood. On average, they had 1.7 years of college ($SD = 0.6$ years) and a mean grade point average (GPA) of 3.0 ($SD = 0.6$). The untrained group consisted of 4 women and 7 men. Ten had never taken music lessons; 1 had 1 year of lessons. The average participant in the untrained group had 2.3 years of college ($SD = 0.7$ years) and a GPA of 2.6 ($SD = 0.7$). The two groups did not differ in age, years of education, or GPA ($p s \geq .2$).

**Apparatus.** Stimuli were presented to participants under computer control (Macintosh G4). A customized software program created with Hypercard was created to control presentation of stimuli and recording of responses. Participants listened to the stimuli over Sennheiser HD 480 (Sennheiser Communications, Tullamore, Ireland) headphones at a comfortable volume while sitting in a sound-attenuating booth. The stimuli were presented with a flute timbre from the Roland 110 sound library (Roland Canada Music Ltd., Richmond, British Columbia).

**Stimuli.** Tone sequences were melodic analogues of spoken sentences. Specifically, they were constructed to mimic the prosody of spoken sentences included in the Name Emotional Prosody test of the Florida Affect Battery (Bowers, Blonder, & Heilman, 1991). The test consists of four semantically neutral sentences (e.g., “The chairs are made of wood”) uttered by a female speaker in four different renditions, with each rendition conveying one of four emotions: happiness, sadness, fear, or anger.

Each of the 16 sentences (4 sentences $\times$ 4 emotions) was transformed into an analogous tone sequence in a manner similar to that of Patel et al. (1998). Tone “syllables” were created by calculating the modal pitch and duration of each spoken syllable. The modal pitch was established by locating the longest duration of pitch stability in the spoken syllable, ignoring variations up to 10 Hz (cycles/second) in frequency. When such variation was present, the mode was taken as the median of the frequencies. The selected pitch was verified by a musically trained assistant who compared the tone syllable with the spoken syllable presented in isolation. Tone syllables were combined to create a tone-sequence counterpart for each of the 16 sentences.

Unlike natural speech, each tone syllable had equal amplitude. Moreover, spoken language has pitch glides (i.e., continuous transitions), whereas the tone sequences had discrete steps, although these did not conform to any musical scale. Discrete pitches were used to convey the syllabic segments that give spoken utterances their essential rhythmic character (i.e., segmentation in speech is conveyed poorly by continuous pitch changes when consonants are absent). In short, the translation from spoken sentences to tone sequences isolated pitch and timing dimensions of prosody, which are known to be important cues to the emotions conveyed by speakers (e.g., Juslin & Laukka, 2001, 2003). Table 1 (uppermost section) provides duration and pitch information for tone sequences used in each of the four emotion categories.

The table confirms that these cues were typical of the intended emotions. For example, “happy” sequences were relatively quick (short duration) with a wide pitch (frequency) range, whereas “sad” sequences were slow with low pitch and a narrow pitch range.

**Procedure.** Participants were tested individually. They were told that they would hear a total of 16 tone sequences and that for each they should choose the emotion conveyed from a set of four alternatives. They were also told that each tone sequence mirrored the pitch and temporal information of a spoken phrase, and that the original phrases were spoken in a way that conveyed a happy, sad, fearful, or angry emotion. Before the test session began, practice trials were allowed until participants understood the task. The practice trials were drawn at random from the same set of stimuli as the test trials. Participants typi-
cally completed two or three practice trials before initiating the 16 test trials.

Results and Discussion

Each participant had four scores that represented the percentage of correct responses in each of the four conditions. Each condition corresponded to one of the four target emotions. The data are illustrated in Figure 1. The main analysis consisted of a 2 × 4 mixed-design analysis of variance (ANOVA), with musical training (trained or untrained) as the between-subjects factor and emotion (happiness, sadness, fear, or anger) as the within-subjects factor. The main effect of training was reliable, $F(1, 18) = 8.26, p = .010$. In line with our predictions, the musically trained group ($M = 45\%$ correct) performed better than the untrained group ($M = 29\%$). Average levels of performance exceeded chance levels (25\% correct) for the musically trained participants, $t(8) = 4.72, p = .001$, but not for their untrained counterparts.

The main effect of emotion was also reliable, $F(3, 54) = 2.82, p = .048$. As expected, performance was best in the sad condition ($M = 49\%$ correct), worst in the fearful condition ($M = 26\%$), and intermediate in the happy and angry conditions ($Ms = 42\%$ and 28\%, respectively). Performance in the sad condition exceeded performance in the other three conditions, $F(1, 54) = 4.75, p = .034$, whereas performance in the fearful condition was marginally worse than performance in the other three conditions, $F(1, 54) = 2.99, p = .090$. The lack of a two-way interaction between musical training and emotion indicates that differences among emotions were similar for the two groups of participants (see Figure 1).

In summary, the findings are consistent with the hypothesis that music lessons are positively associated with decoding speech prosody. Previous findings indicate that musicians exhibit advantages in detecting whether a prosodic pattern came from a depressed person (Nilsonne & Sundberg, 1985), and in extracting the pitch and duration patterns from happy and sad sounding speech (Thompson et al., 2003). The present findings reveal an advantage for musically trained adults in identifying emotions conveyed by prosodic cues. The results also corroborate previous indications that sadness is expressed with relatively distinctive and salient cues whether it is conveyed musically or prosodically (Bunt & Pavlicevic, 2001; Sloboda & Juslin, 2001; Terwogt & Van Grinsven, 1988, 1991).

An alternative interpretation of these data is that trained listeners performed better than untrained listeners because the stimuli were tone sequences. Although the sequences were not tonal melodies (i.e., in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Happy</th>
<th>Sad</th>
<th>Fearful</th>
<th>Angry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (s)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>462.14</td>
<td>267.19</td>
<td>290.98</td>
<td>244.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>178.73</td>
<td>184.99</td>
<td>240.18</td>
<td>130.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (s)</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>405.75</td>
<td>203.82</td>
<td>296.96</td>
<td>332.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>263.36</td>
<td>162.02</td>
<td>219.06</td>
<td>221.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The values are averaged across the four tone sequences used in each emotion category. Duration values are related inversely to the speed (tempo) of the sequences. Frequency values correspond to perceived pitch (higher frequency = higher perceived pitch).
a recognizable key) and did not sound like music, musicians are known to be better than nonmusicians at processing unconventional musical sequences (e.g., Lynch, Eilers, Oller, Urbano, & Wilson, 1991). Moreover, the tone sequences may have seemed particularly odd for untrained listeners, which would make it difficult for them to distinguish among the sequences or to perceive them as abstract representations of speech prosody. Experiment 2 was designed to address these possibilities.

Experiment 2

In Experiment 2, listeners judged the emotional meaning of tone sequences and spoken utterances. The rationale was as follows: If musically trained listeners in Experiment 1 demonstrated enhanced performance merely because they are skilled at processing tone sequences, then no advantage of training should be observed for spoken utterances. We also addressed the possibility that musically untrained listeners performed poorly in Experiment 1 because they could not imagine how tone sequences represent elements of speech prosody. Specifically, listeners heard the spoken utterances before the tone sequences to highlight the connection between the two types of stimuli. Although this procedure should lead to improvements in performance among untrained listeners, we still expected performance to be better among trained listeners.

Another aim was to evaluate sensitivity to speech prosody in a foreign language. To this end, we presented listeners not only with English speech but also with speech samples from a language that was not understood by any of our participants: Tagalog. (Also called Pilipino, Tagalog is spoken by roughly 25% of people in Philippines, an Asian country of 7,100 islands and islets off the southeast coast of mainland China.) Finally, we investigated whether musically trained listeners might outperform their untrained counterparts on our experimental tasks because they have superior cognitive abilities. This interpretation is consistent with recent evidence of effects of music lessons on IQ (Schellenberg, in press). Although we controlled for GPA in Experiment 1, we did not administer standard measures of intelligence. In the present experiment, participants completed a measure of fluid intelligence—the short form of the Raven’s Advanced Progressive Matrices (Bors & Stokes, 1998). If group differences in intelligence are driving the observed effects, individual differences in intelligence should predict individual differences in performance accuracy.

Trained and untrained adults were asked to identify the emotions conveyed by the prosody of spoken utterances as well as by tone sequences derived from those utterances. All of the utterances were semantically neutral. Some were in English; others were in Tagalog. We predicted that musically trained adults would have better decoding skills than musically untrained adults. We also expected that familiarity would lead to better performance with English compared with Tagalog stimuli, and with spoken utterances compared with tone sequences. On the basis of our review of the literature, we predicted that performance would be better for sad or angry sounding spoken utterances than for utterances that were happy or fearful sounding. For tone sequences (which have prosodic and musical properties), we predicted that decoding sadness would be particularly accurate, whereas decoding fear would be particularly inaccurate (as in Experiment 1).

Method

Participants. Fifty-six adults from a university community (18 men and 38 women) participated in the study. Some participants were recruited from introductory psychology classes and received course credit for participating. Others were recruited from the Faculty of Music and received token remuneration. The musically trained group consisted of 24 women and 4 men who had at least 8 years of music lessons ($M = 12.5$ years, $SD = 3.5$ years). All of them began taking music lessons during childhood. The untrained group consisted of 14 women and 14 men. None had ever taken private music lessons.

Apparatus. PsyScope software (Cohen, MacWhinney, Flatt, & Provost, 1993) installed on a Macintosh computer (iMac) was used to create a customized program that controlled presentation of stimuli and collection of responses. The auditory stimuli were presented through Telephonics TDH-39P headphones (Telephonics Corporation, Farmingdale, NY) at a comfortable listening level. As in Experiment 1, participants were tested in a sound-attenuating booth.

Stimuli. The stimuli consisted of 20 sentences uttered in English, 20 sentences uttered in Tagalog, and 32 tone sequences derived from the utterances. English sentences were taken from the speech prosody section of the Florida Affect Battery. Tagalog sentences were a subset of sentences used by Balkwill, Thompson, and Schubert (2002). In both languages, four sentences with semantically neutral content were uttered by a native female speaker in five different ways, corresponding to the four target emotions.
(happy, sad, fearful, and angry) plus one with neutral emotion. Utterances with neutral emotion were included to avoid ceiling levels of performance on the emotion-identification task.

Tone sequences were derived from utterances spoken in a happy, sad, fearful, or angry manner using the procedure described in Experiment 1. Tone sequences were not derived from emotionally neutral utterances because their inclusion could have made the emotion-identification task formidable, particularly for untrained listeners. The 16 tone sequences derived from English sentences were identical to those used in Experiment 1 (see Table 1, uppermost section). Sixteen additional tone sequences were derived from Tagalog sentences (see Table 1, lowermost section). In summary, there were 40 spoken utterances (2 languages × 4 sentences × 5 emotions) and 32 tone sequences (2 languages × 4 sentences × 4 emotions).

**Procedure.** Participants were tested individually in the sound-attenuating booth. They were told that they would hear 40 spoken utterances and 32 tone sequences and that for each they should select the emotion conveyed from the set provided. They were also told that each tone sequence mirrored the pitch and temporal information of a spoken phrase, and that the original phrases were spoken in a way that conveyed a happy, sad, fearful, angry, or neutral emotion.

In the first task of the test phase, listeners heard the 40 spoken utterances presented in random order. On each trial, they decided whether the speaker sounded happy, sad, angry, fearful, or emotionally neutral by clicking one of the options displayed on the computer screen. In the second task, listeners heard the 32 tone sequences derived from happy, sad, fearful, and angry sounding spoken utterances. Listeners were told that tone sequences were derived from spoken utterances presented in the first task and they were encouraged to imagine that each was a sentence spoken by the computer. On each trial, they decided whether the tone sequence was derived from happy, sad, fearful, or angry speech.

Before the first (spoken utterances) and second (tone sequences) tasks began, participants had two and four practice trials, respectively, drawn randomly from the same sets of stimuli used in the actual tasks. Following the test phase, participants were given a maximum of 20 min to complete the short form of the Raven’s Advanced Progressive Matrices (Bors & Stokes, 1998). On average, participants took approximately 10 min to complete the Raven’s test and 20 min to complete the entire procedure.

**Results and Discussion**

Each listener had five scores representing the percentage of correct responses for the five conditions with spoken stimuli, and four scores for the four conditions with tone sequences. Descriptive statistics are provided in Table 2. Performance levels for both trained and untrained participants were reliably higher than chance levels in all conditions ($p < .001$). The primary analysis was a $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 4$ mixed-design ANOVA, with one between-subjects factor (musical training) and three within-subjects factors: language (English or Tagalog), modality (spoken utterances or tone sequences), and emotion (happy, sad, fearful, or angry). Responses to neutral spoken stimuli were analyzed separately.

Significant main effects revealed superior performance with the English stimuli ($M = 64\%$ correct) over the Tagalog stimuli ($M = 60\%$), $F(1, 54) = 5.60$, $p = .022$; and for the spoken utterances ($M = 79\%$) over the tone sequences ($M = 45\%$),

| Table 2: Mean Percentage of Correct Responses (and Standard Deviations) in Experiment 2 |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Variable                      | Musically trained | Musically untrained |
|                               | English | Tagalog | English | Tagalog |
| Spoken utterance              |         |         |         |         |
| Happy                         | 96.4 (13.1) | 58.9 (20.7) | 97.3 (7.9) | 63.4 (24.1) |
| Sad                           | 87.5 (21.0) | 77.7 (26.7) | 83.9 (24.7) | 70.5 (23.6) |
| Fearful                       | 73.2 (21.5) | 70.5 (19.3) | 67.9 (23.4) | 47.3 (29.9) |
| Angry                         | 82.2 (17.8) | 96.4 (8.9) | 90.2 (19.7) | 100.0 (0.0) |
| Neutral                       | 85.7 (18.6) | 92.0 (16.8) | 81.3 (20.0) | 76.8 (22.5) |
| Tone sequence                 |         |         |         |         |
| Happy                         | 51.8 (31.1) | 39.3 (25.9) | 60.7 (27.6) | 50.0 (24.5) |
| Sad                           | 53.6 (23.3) | 75.0 (23.6) | 44.7 (23.9) | 60.7 (26.7) |
| Fearful                       | 34.8 (22.9) | 39.3 (24.0) | 33.0 (25.5) | 33.0 (24.6) |
| Angry                         | 32.2 (27.1) | 37.5 (24.1) | 27.7 (25.8) | 40.2 (25.8) |
between language, modality, and emotion. Finally, a reliable three-way interaction between individual differences in using prosody to express emotion. Because a single female speaker uttered all of the sentences in both languages, we hesitate to attribute this finding to cultural rather than to individual differences in using prosody to express emotion. A significant two-way interaction between language and modality, $F(1, 54) = 32.37, p < .001$, stemmed from superior performance for English with speech stimuli but not with tone sequences. In other words, unfamiliar and unintelligible Tagalog phonemes and syllables interfered with performance in the speech conditions. The two-way interaction between modality and emotion was also significant, $F(3, 162) = 31.98, p < .001$. This finding was a consequence of relatively good performance with angry sounding spoken utterances but relatively poor performance with angry sounding tone sequences.

A two-way interaction between language and emotion, $F(3, 162) = 26.27, p < .001$, indicated that prosodic cues to emotion varied between the English and Tagalog stimuli. Because a single female speaker uttered all of the sentences in both languages, we hesitate to attribute this finding to cultural rather than to individual differences in using prosody to express emotion. Finally, a reliable three-way interaction between language, modality, and emotion, $F(3, 162) = 6.51, p < .001$, revealed that the two-way interaction between language and modality (i.e., a decrement in performance for Tagalog compared with English spoken conditions, noted above) was not evident for the angry sounding stimuli. In other words, the speaker who uttered the Tagalog sentences in an angry manner was relatively successful at conveying her intended emotion to our sample of English-speaking Canadians, and her unintelligible words did not interfere in these instances.

Effects of training were less straightforward than those observed in Experiment 1 but generally consistent with our hypothesis. The main effect of musical training was not significant, but there was a significant interaction between emotion and musical training, $F(3, 162) = 5.77, p = .001$. Musical training did not interact with any other variable or combination of variables. Follow-up tests of the interaction between emotion and musical training showed enhanced decoding accuracy among trained adults for some emotions but not for others. Specifically, we examined each of the four emotion categories separately with a three-way (Musical Training × Language × Modality) mixed-design ANOVA.

Figure 2 displays mean scores (percent correct) of trained and untrained listeners for each of the four emotions as well as for the neutral emotion condition. A significant performance advantage for the musically trained group was evident for the sad sounding stimuli, $F(1, 54) = 5.05, p = .029$, and for the fearful sounding stimuli, $F(1, 54) = 5.94, p = .018$. The groups performed similarly in the angry conditions ($F < 1$). Interestingly, the untrained group performed marginally better with the happy sounding stimuli, $F(1, 54) = 3.88, p = .054$. Although we do not have data that address this issue (data were recorded as correct or incorrect), one possibility is that untrained listeners had a bias to respond “happy” that would have inflated performance with happy sounding stimuli (see also Juslin & Laukka, 2001).

We analyzed response patterns for spoken utterances with neutral emotion separately with a $2 \times 2$ (Musical Training × Language) mixed-design ANOVA. As with the sad and fearful sounding stimuli, the neutral sounding utterances were identified better by musically trained ($M = 89\%$ correct) than by untrained ($M = 79\%$) listeners, $F(1, 54) = 5.64, p = .021$. There was no main effect of language, and language did not interact with musical training.

Although we observed benefits of musical training for some emotions but not for others, it is remarkable that enhanced performance levels were evident not only for tone sequences and for English speech but...
also for utterances spoken in a language that our participants did not understand (Tagalog). Indeed, a separate analysis of responses to Tagalog spoken utterances revealed a significant main effect of training, $F(1, 54) = 7.39, p = .009$, with musically trained adults ($M = 79\%$ correct) outperforming untrained adults ($M = 72\%$). To the best of our knowledge, this finding is the first to suggest that musical training is associated with enhanced sensitivity to emotions conveyed by prosody in a foreign language.

A final set of analyses examined scores on the short form of the Raven’s Advanced Progressive Matrices (Bors & Stokes, 1998). Although the musically trained group had higher scores, $t(54) = 2.88, p = .006$, as one might expect (Schellenberg, in press), these scores were not correlated with identification accuracy for English utterances ($p > .8$), Tagalog utterances ($p > .2$), English tone sequences ($p > .9$), or Tagalog tone sequences ($p > .5$). In fact, the association was negative (but nonsignificant) in one case. In short, it is highly unlikely that differences in fluid intelligence between musically trained and untrained adults were the source of differential responding on our emotion-identification tasks.

The results of Experiments 1 and 2 suggest that a personal history of music lessons in the childhood and teenage years may enhance one’s ability to decode prosody in adulthood. As with any quasi-experiment, however, it is impossible to determine the direction of causation. Nonetheless, the results cannot be explained by differences in years of education, GPA, or IQ. It is possible, however, that individuals with a naturally keen sensitivity to emotions expressed by prosody gravitate toward music lessons in their younger years, perhaps because they find the lessons more rewarding compared with their peers. In Experiment 3, we clarified this issue by adopting an experimental design. Specifically, we examined whether 6-year-olds assigned randomly to 1 year of music lessons would show similar advantages in decoding speech prosody.

**Experiment 3**

We assigned 6-year-olds randomly to one of four conditions and tested their prosody-decoding abilities 1 year later when they were 7 years of age. In three of the conditions, children took weekly arts lessons in the intervening year, during which they studied keyboard, singing, or drama in small groups; children in the fourth condition received no lessons. Because using the voice to convey emphasis, surprise, and emotion was central to the drama lessons, we expected that these children would be better than the no-lessons group at decoding prosody. We contrasted the abilities of the music (keyboard and singing) groups with the no-lessons group and with the drama group.

As in Experiment 2, the children were asked to make emotional judgments about the prosody of spoken utterances (English and Tagalog) in addition to making judgments about tone sequences. We predicted better performance with English compared with Tagalog stimuli, and with spoken utterances compared with tone sequences. We also predicted that the music groups would have better decoding skills than the no-lessons group. We had no predictions about whether the music groups would match the drama group in decoding accuracy, or whether the two music groups (keyboard and singing) would show equivalent performance levels. As noted earlier, performance could be enhanced or impaired for the singing group.

The particular age group (6 years when the lessons began) was chosen on the basis of several factors. The children needed to be old enough so that the lessons could be relatively rigorous, yet young enough so that the experience would have maximum impact on development. In music conservatories, 6-year-olds are considered mature enough to begin serious instruction in music. Six-year-olds are also more sensitive than younger children at decoding the emotions conveyed by tunes that conform to the rules of their musical culture (Dalla Bella et al., 2001). Evidence of reduced plasticity for children slightly older is provided by studies of absolute pitch. Children who take music lessons before the age of 7 are more likely than other children to have this rare ability, which implies a critical period for its acquisition (Takeuchi & Hulse, 1993).

Compared with Experiments 1 and 2, the task was simplified for children by reducing the number of alternatives in the forced-choice response to 2 (from 4 or 5). On some trials, children heard either a happy or a sad sounding utterance or tone sequence. On other trials, the stimuli were fearful or angry sounding. In general, we predicted superior performance in the happy–sad conditions compared with the fearful–angry conditions.

**Method**

**Participants.** Forty-three 7-year-olds participated in the study (11 boys and 32 girls). Thirty had recently completed 1 year of formal training in keyboard ($n = 10$), singing ($n = 11$), or drama ($n = 9$). A fourth
no-lessons group had no training in music or drama \((n = 13)\). The children were assigned at random to one of the four conditions as they entered 1st grade (at 6 years of age). The lessons were provided free of charge. Children in the no-lessons group received training the next year.

The sample was recruited from a larger group of 144 families who volunteered to participate in a large-scale study designed to investigate whether arts lessons are predictive of intellectual development (Schellenberg, in press). The children came from families in the local area who responded to a newspaper advertisement for “free arts lessons.” All of the families had a keyboard with full-sized keys, but none of the children had prior music or drama lessons. The original study consisted of a pretest (including the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Third Edition \([WISC–III]\); Wechsler, 1991; the Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement; Kaufman & Kaufman, 1985; and the Parent Rating Scale of the Behavioral Assessment System for Children; Reynolds & Kamphaus, 1992), the arts lessons (except for children in the no-lessons group), and a posttest (all tests re-administered). Because the lessons were taught outside the laboratory (at the conservatory), all four groups spent an equivalent amount of time in the laboratory prior to the present study. Table 3 provides descriptive statistics for scores on the pretest measures. In each case, there were no differences among groups. After the posttest, families were invited to participate in the present study. Children in the present sample were tested in the summer months between 1st and 2nd grade. Each child received a gift certificate for participating.

**Training.** The children received weekly lessons at the Mississauga location of the Royal Conservatory of Music (Toronto, Canada). The lessons were approximately 45 min in length, taught to groups of six children. The instructors (two each for keyboard, singing, and drama) were professional female teachers who were Conservatory affiliates or graduates.

The keyboard lessons were designed by teachers at the Conservatory and consisted of traditional piano-training approaches using electronic keyboards. The children studied sight-reading, music notation, fingering patterns, playing from memory, and clapping rhythms. Children in the singing group received training in the Kodály method (Choksy, 1999), an intensive musical program that involves improvisation as well as singing, playing, and dancing to simple tunes and folk melodies. Children in the drama group studied simple scripts for plays, intonation, memorization of lines, staging, and acting. In all groups, children were expected to practice at home between lessons.

**Apparatus.** The apparatus was identical to Experiment 2, except that children responded by pressing one of two buttons on a button box connected to the computer.

**Stimuli.** The stimuli were identical to those of Experiment 2, except the spoken utterances with neutral prosody were excluded. Hence, there were 32 spoken sentences (2 languages \(\times 4\) sentences \(\times 4\) emotions) and 32 corresponding tone sequences.

**Procedure.** Children were tested individually by an assistant who was blind to group assignment. They were informed that they would participate in a series of short tasks and that each would require eight responses. For each task, they were told to choose between two answers and shown how to respond. In two tasks, children heard eight happy and sad sentences presented in random order, once in English

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>VIQ</th>
<th>PIQ</th>
<th>FSIQ</th>
<th>K-TEA</th>
<th>BSI</th>
<th>Adaptive Skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keyboard</td>
<td>106 (12)</td>
<td>109 (11)</td>
<td>108 (9)</td>
<td>109 (8)</td>
<td>43 (8)</td>
<td>57 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singing</td>
<td>107 (11)</td>
<td>117 (10)</td>
<td>112 (11)</td>
<td>104 (10)</td>
<td>45 (8)</td>
<td>60 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drama</td>
<td>108 (10)</td>
<td>107 (21)</td>
<td>107 (15)</td>
<td>106 (11)</td>
<td>41 (7)</td>
<td>57 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No lessons</td>
<td>108 (11)</td>
<td>104 (14)</td>
<td>106 (11)</td>
<td>105 (10)</td>
<td>42 (6)</td>
<td>59 (11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(F(3, 39) < 1\)

\(p = .95\)

Note. VIQ, PIQ, FSIQ, and K-TEA are \(z\) scores \((M = 100, SD = 15)\). BSI and Adaptive Skills are \(T\) scores \((M = 50, SD = 10)\). VIQ = Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children—Third Edition \([WISC–III]\) Verbal IQ; PIQ = WISC–III, Performance IQ; FSIQ = WISC–III, Full-Scale IQ; K-TEA = Kaufman Test of Educational Achievement, Composite Score; BSI = Behavioral Assessment System for Children, Behavioral Symptoms Index (Maladaptive); Adaptive Skills = Behavioral Assessment System for Children, Adaptive Skills Composite Score.
and once in Tagalog. They were asked to decide whether the speaker sounded happy or sad by pressing one button directly below a picture of a happy person, or a different button below a sad person. Another two tasks were identical to the first two, except that children heard the fearful and angry sentences (eight in English, eight in Tagalog) and judged whether the speaker sounded scared or angry by pressing one of two buttons below corresponding images.

The tone sequences were substituted for the spoken utterances to create another four tasks. The children were asked to imagine that each was a sentence spoken by the computer and to decide whether the computer was happy or sad in two tasks, and scared or angry in the other two. The tasks were otherwise identical to the four with spoken sentences.

Each child was first tested in all four happy–sad conditions (e.g., spoken English, spoken Tagalog, English tone sequences, Tagalog tone sequences) or in all four fearful–angry conditions. In both cases, judgments of the spoken sentences preceded judgments of the tone sequences (as in Experiment 2). Four testing orders were formed, with emotion (happy–sad, then fearful–angry, or vice versa) crossed with language (English, then Tagalog, or vice versa). Children were assigned at random to one of the four orders. They were allowed to take a break between tasks. The entire testing session took approximately 25 min.

Results and Discussion

Each child had eight scores corresponding to the percentage of correct responses in each testing condition. Descriptive statistics are provided in Table 4. As shown in the table, performance was good and reliably better than chance (50% correct) for all groups in all happy–sad conditions. Performance was more variable in the fearful–angry conditions, being better than chance levels with spoken utterances in all instances, but at chance levels with tone sequences in some cases. Preliminary analyses revealed no differences among the four testing orders, and no interactions between testing order and any other independent variable or combination of variables. Testing order was not considered further.

We analyzed response patterns with a $4 \times 2 \times 2$ mixed-design ANOVA, with lessons (keyboard, singing, drama, or no lessons) as a between-subjects factor, and language (English or Tagalog), modality (spoken utterances or tone sequences), and emotion (happy–sad or fearful–angry) as within-subjects factors. As predicted, responses were more accurate for spoken utterances ($M = 92\%$ correct) than for tone sequences ($M = 75\%$), $F(1, 39) = 107.11, p < .001$; for English stimuli ($M = 88\%$) than for Tagalog stimuli ($M = 79\%$), $F(1, 39) = 26.24, p < .001$; and for happy–sad comparisons ($M = 91\%$) than for fearful–angry comparisons ($M = 76\%$), $F(1, 39) = 73.17, p < .001$. These main effects were qualified by three interactions: a two-way interaction between emotion and modality, $F(1, 39) = 75.37, p < .001$; a two-way interaction between emotion and group, $F(3, 39) = 2.97, p = .043$; and a three-way interaction among emotion, language, and modality, $F(1, 39) = 10.89, p = .002$.

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Keyboard</th>
<th>Singing</th>
<th>Drama</th>
<th>No lessons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spoken utterance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy–sad</td>
<td>100.0* (0.0)</td>
<td>97.7* (7.5)</td>
<td>97.2* (8.3)</td>
<td>97.2* (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful–angry</td>
<td>95.2* (6.2)</td>
<td>91.0* (12.7)</td>
<td>94.6* (9.0)</td>
<td>90.5* (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy–sad</td>
<td>90.1* (16.4)</td>
<td>87.7* (18.4)</td>
<td>77.8* (29.8)</td>
<td>90.5* (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful–angry</td>
<td>95.1* (8.6)</td>
<td>84.3* (21.6)</td>
<td>94.6* (9.0)</td>
<td>85.8* (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tone sequence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy–sad</td>
<td>97.6* (5.1)</td>
<td>91.0* (12.7)</td>
<td>90.2* (16.5)</td>
<td>88.5* (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful–angry</td>
<td>78.6* (15.8)</td>
<td>65.9 (33.3)</td>
<td>65.3* (21.5)</td>
<td>67.3* (23.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy–sad</td>
<td>90.2* (9.8)</td>
<td>89.9* (12.3)</td>
<td>78.0* (18.6)</td>
<td>91.4* (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fearful–angry</td>
<td>58.9* (18.6)</td>
<td>51.3 (12.9)</td>
<td>59.7* (8.3)</td>
<td>42.4 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Conditions in which performance exceeded chance levels (50% correct) are indicated with an asterisk ($p < .05$, one-tailed).
Because all three interactions involved emotion, we conducted follow-up analyses separately for the happy–sad and fearful–angry conditions. In both cases, we used a three-way (4 groups × 2 languages × 2 modalities) mixed-design ANOVA. For happy–sad conditions, high overall performance levels meant that differences between groups were not reliable. Hence, interactions in the original analysis appear to be a consequence of these near-ceiling effects. Lower performance levels in the fearful–angry conditions allowed for differences among groups to emerge. The main effect of group was reliable (see Figure 3), $F(3, 39) = 4.19, p = .011$, and did not interact with language or modality. The drama group outperformed the no-lessons group, $F(1, 39) = 4.35, p = .044$, as expected. More important, children in the music groups also outperformed the no-lessons group, $F(1, 39) = 4.79, p = .035$, and were no different from the drama group. Performance differed, however, between the keyboard and singing groups, $F(1, 39) = 6.71, p = .013$. The keyboard group was significantly better than the no-lessons group, $F(1, 39) = 10.13, p = .003$, and no different from the drama group. By contrast, the results were ambiguous for the singing group, which had intermediate levels of performance that were not significantly different from either the no-lessons group or the drama group.

In summary, the results were consistent with predictions. Happy–sad judgments were easier than fearful–angry judgments, spoken utterances were easier than tone sequences, and English stimuli were easier than Tagalog stimuli. Performance on our two-alternative forced-choice task in the happy–sad conditions was very good across groups, precluding detection of differences among groups. Lower levels of performance in the fearful–angry conditions allowed differences among groups to emerge. Such differences were consistent with predictions and indicative of benefits in decoding prosody as a consequence of music lessons.

**General Discussion**

We tested whether music lessons enhance the ability to decode emotions conveyed by speech prosody. In Experiment 1, adults who took music lessons in childhood were better than untrained adults at identifying the emotions conveyed by tone sequences that mimicked the prosody of spoken utterances. In Experiment 2, musically trained adults were better than untrained adults at identifying sadness and fear conveyed by utterances spoken in both familiar (English) and unfamiliar (Tagalog) languages, and by prosody-mimicking tone sequences. Musically trained adults were also better at identifying spoken utterances with emotionally neutral tone sequences. In Experiment 3, 7-year-olds were asked to identify the emotions conveyed in speech or in tone sequences that mimicked speech.

For fearful–angry comparisons, children who took keyboard lessons during the previous year performed better than children with no arts lessons and equivalently to children with drama lessons. The equivalence between keyboard and drama lessons is particularly noteworthy because the drama lessons focused specifically on training the speaking voice and the use of prosody.

In Experiment 3, performance was better for children who received keyboard training than for children who received training in singing. As noted earlier, benefits of music lessons may have been attenuated for the singing group because singing lessons emphasize nonprosodic uses of the voice. Children in this group were trained to attend to voice–pitch mappings that do not coincide (and often conflict) with normal prosodic uses of the voice. Moreover, keyboards produce precise pitches and pitch intervals, but the singing voice, particularly the voice of young singers, typically approximates exact pitch levels and intervals within a rather large margin of error (Dowling, 1999). The process of extracting meaning from pitch could become more rapidly refined among children taking keyboard lessons, who have repeated exposure to exact pitch levels and pitch intervals.

Another possibility is that the difference between the keyboard and singing lessons stemmed from pedagogical differences that distinguish Kodály training.
from standard conservatory approaches. Schellenberg (in press) found, however, that increases in full-scale IQ from pre- to postlessons were larger among both music groups compared with the control (drama and no-lessons) groups, with no difference between music groups. These effects were evident across the various dimensions (i.e., the four factor scores) and subtests of the WISC–III (Wechsler, 1991). The two music groups were also similar on measures of social adjustment and academic achievement. In summary, it would be a remarkable coincidence if the single difference between groups was in a domain related to the voice, yet it was independent of vocal training.

A third possibility is that children in the keyboard group spent more time each week playing music than did children in the singing group. For example, keyboard practice may have been more entertaining for children than practicing singing, because a keyboard could be construed as a toy. Unfortunately, the precise amount of time spent playing music each week is unknown, so we are unable to evaluate this possibility. Moreover, parents reported a minimal level of home practice across conditions (e.g., 10–15 min per week).

In general, decoding accuracy in Experiment 3 was better for English than for Tagalog stimuli, for spoken than for tonal stimuli, and for happy and sad sounding stimuli than for fearful or angry sounding stimuli. The difficulty with Tagalog relative to English stimuli likely reflects children’s confusion when asked to make judgments about speech in a foreign language, even though the English words did not indicate the speaker’s emotion. Similar interference effects were evident among the adults tested in Experiment 2. Nonetheless, the robust advantage for spoken utterances over tone sequences in both languages confirms that acoustic variables other than pitch and duration, such as loudness and timbre, are important in conveying emotions through prosody (Juslin & Laukka, 2001, 2003).

The advantage for happy and sad stimuli among the children tested in Experiment 3 suggests that prosodic cues distinguishing happy from sad speech are more salient than those distinguishing fearful from angry speech. For example, it may be easier to distinguish a positive emotion from a negative emotion than to distinguish two negative emotions. Alternatively, the advantage may reflect contributions of familiarity. Assuming that expressions of happiness and sadness are more common than expressions of fear or anger in speech to children, performance advantages for familiar stimuli were evident in Experiments 2 and 3.

The present findings point to a complex association between musical training and the ability to decode speech prosody, while supporting the hypothesis that training in music enhances sensitivity to emotions conveyed by prosody. One explanation of this transfer effect is that similar or overlapping processes are involved in decoding emotional meaning in music and in speech prosody. Training in music may engage, refine, and develop skills used in associating pitch and temporal patterns with emotions. If the same skills are used for perceiving emotions conveyed musically or in speech, then music training would yield benefits for decoding emotions expressed in speech prosody. Indeed, it seems unlikely that human evolution led to duplicate mechanisms for associating pitch and temporal cues with emotions.

In Mayer et al.’s (1999, 2001) view, the ability to perceive emotions is the most basic component of emotional intelligence. Our results suggest that development of this basic component can be facilitated through training in music. Although the status of emotional intelligence as a distinct intelligence is contentious (Izard, 2001; Kaufman & Kaufman, 2001; Roberts, Zeidner, & Matthews, 2001; Schaie, 2001), many theorists include emotion perception as a component of social intelligence (Gardner, 1993; Thorndike, 1920), empathic ability (Guilford, 1959), or crystallized intelligence (Cattell, 1971; Horn, 1988). Age-related improvements in emotion perception are thought to occur as a result of cognitive maturity, social interaction, and other relevant experiences (Roberts et al., 2001, p. 198). Taking music lessons appears to be one experience that accelerates age-related improvements in perceiving emotion.

Our data do not specify the precise stage in the information-processing system at which transfer occurs between music lessons and decoding prosody in speech. Transfer could occur at relatively high levels, when auditory signals are interpreted for emotional meaning. Another possibility is that transfer occurs at a lower level involving pitch analysis. Training in music is likely to engage and refine processes involved in the analysis of pitch patterns over time (Jakobson et al., 2003; Thompson et al., 2003), which could then be activated when interpreting emotions expressed in speech.

Although the present results suggest that music and speech prosody are processed by shared mechanisms, neuropsychological evidence makes it clear that many aspects of music engage domain-specific processes (Patel & Peretz, 1997; Peretz & Coltheart, 2003). One example is tonality—the system of organizing pitch
around a reference point (the tonic) with which other tones are compared. Peretz et al. (1994) reported a case of a brain-injured patient who is completely insensitive to this aspect of music but nonetheless sensitive to various aspects of language processing, such as phonology, syntax, and semantic meaning. Even when two domains such as speech and music share neural resources, apparent dissociations can arise if the task is more relevant to one of the two domains. For example, in the first documented case of congenital amusia (Peretz et al., 2002), the patient had a severe deficit in fine-grained pitch discrimination. Remarkably, she interpreted intonation in speech correctly (e.g., an utterance that ends with rising pitch is likely to be a question). Her dissociation may highlight the different levels of pitch processing required for appreciating music and decoding prosody. Because prosody typically involves course-grained pitch variation, deficits in fine-grained pitch discrimination are more detrimental to music perception than to decoding prosody (Ayotte, Peretz, & Hyde, 2002; Hyde & Peretz, in press).

In summary, perceiving music and speech are likely to engage a number of interacting processes, some of which are shared by both domains and some of which are domain specific. Processes that lead to an emotional interpretation of auditory cues are likely to be shared by both domains. Indeed, there may be a general processor for the identification of emotional stimuli that extends to visual stimuli. For example, performance is correlated across tasks when adults are required to make judgments about the emotional content of speech prosody in one task, and the emotions expressed by faces in another task (Borod et al., 2000).

Other studies of possible by-products of music lessons have typically suffered from challenges in design, interpretation, and conceptualization (for a review, see Schellenberg, 2003). For example, associations between musical abilities and nonmusical abilities in correlational studies or quasi-experiments could be the consequence of differences in general intelligence, socioeconomic status, or other environmental factors. Simple comparisons between individuals taking music lessons and those with no lessons (Bilhartz, Bruhn, & Olson, 2000; Costa-Giomi, 1999; Ho et al., 2003; Rauscher, 2002) make it impossible to attribute the findings to music lessons per se, rather than to additional lessons of any type, or perhaps to any extra-curricular activity (Schellenberg, 2003). Moreover, theories about possible links between music lessons and spatial-temporal reasoning (e.g., Rauscher et al., 1995; Shaw, 2000) posit improbable transfer effects between unrelated domains. By contrast, the present report tested the possibility of links between music lessons and a domain that is closely related. Our results suggest that some types of music lessons are as effective as drama lessons in their capacity to nurture sensitivity to vocal expressions of emotion, and that such benefits are evident well into adulthood. Indeed, training in music may facilitate a basic skill of emotional intelligence: the ability to decode emotional meaning in speech prosody.
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